

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/087,130	02/28/2002	Nikki M. Bruner	P1569US01	4401	
7590 07/24/2006			EXAMINER		
Fellers, Snider, Blankenship, Bailey & Tippens,			TORRES, JOSEPH D		
Bank One Towe	er				
100 North Broad	dway,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 1700			2133		
Oklahoma City,	OK 73102-8820		DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/087,130	BRUNER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph D. Torres	2133		

	Joseph D. Torres	2133						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS		***						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).								
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>15-26</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 28-30</u> .	vided below or appended.	i be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was <u>n</u> ot earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Final rejection.	[] [nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure (12. Primary Expense)	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						
	MINER	Joseph D. Torres, I Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2133	PhD					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Examiner asserts that in the last Office Action, claim 29 was not written in a manner to distinguish preamble from limitations and appeared to be a single preamble with no limitations. Note: a preamble is not normally given patentable weight. The Examiner made the assumption that the Applicant was attempting to claim the same matter as claim 28 for the sole purpose of advancing prosecution. The Applicant amended claim 28 in such a manner that was not anticipated by the Examiner and the Applicant admits in the Applicant's response that claim 29 requires further consideration. The Examiner concurs..